Application No. Applicant(s) 10/510.892 REDDY ET AL. Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit

EBENEZER SA	CKEY	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
 I.			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).			
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, of final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.			
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). 		•	
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on 			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee,	, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	<u> </u>
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.			
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within Allowability (PTO-37). 	the three-month p	period set in, the No	tice of
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate after the expiration of the period for reply. 	of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent the applicants. 	of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	(acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 	and becaus	e the period for see	king court review
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:			
applicants attorney, Robert Franks, confirmed in a telephonic conver action mailed on 09/18/08 had not been filed. An interview summary			e to the office
/James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624			

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)